

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2006

	<u> </u>			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,744	01/23/2004	Timothy J. Porth	OCT016USPT01	7665
23403	7590 11/15/2006		EXAM	INER
SHERRILL I 4756 BANNIN	LAW OFFICES	CROW, ST	EPHEN R	
SUITE 212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WHITE BEAR	WHITE BEAR LAKE, MN 55110-3205			
	,			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\(\sigma'\)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Author Occurrence	10/763,744	PORTH, TIMOTHY J.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Steve R. Crow	3764			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. IANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _					
<u> </u>	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-67 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	•			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-67</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	id/or election requirement.				
Application Papers	·				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	•	•			
Applicant may not request that any objection to					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con	,	` ' '			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ng., phoney and a colores g				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ients have been received in A	pplication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bu					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.			
		••			
••• • • • • • •					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Intensions C	Summary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	_ ·			

Art Unit: 3764

Election/Restrictions

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species: ***

1—figures 1-4;

2—figure 5;

3—figures 6-8;

4—figures 9-11.

The species are independent or distinct because although the species are directed to

related elliptical exercise devices, they are distinct because the inventions as claimed

are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the species are

distinct because all of the above species have structural differences which would not

permit one species to read upon another species without the additional of a secondary

teaching.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is

finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification

of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Application/Control Number: 10/763,744

Art Unit: 3764

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3764

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve R. Crow whose telephone number is 571-272-4973. The examiner can normally be reached on Reg:8:30-6;Off First Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Huson can be reached on 571-272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 332

ALLOW

SC